

## AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT

1. CONTRACT ID CODE

PAGE OF PAGES

1 6

2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO.

003

3. EFFECTIVE DATE

09/29/2009

4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO.

5. PROJECT NO. (if applicable)

6. ISSUED BY

CODE

KSC

7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6)

CODE

KSC

NASA/John F. Kennedy Space Center  
Office of Procurement  
MAIL CODE OP  
KENNEDY SPACE CENTER FL 32899

NASA/Kennedy Space Center  
Office of Procurement  
MAIL CODE OP  
KENNEDY SPACE CENTER FL 32899

8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)

(x) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO.

NNK09275664R

x 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11)

07/24/2009

10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO.

10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)

CODE

FACILITY CODE

## 11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☐ is extended. ☒ is not extended.  
Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods: (a) By completing Items 8 and 15, and returning 1 copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGEMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (if required)

## 13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE

A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.

B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).

C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:

D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not ☐ is required to sign this document and return \_\_\_\_\_ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

INCO TERMS 2: DESTINATION

The purpose of this amendment is to make the following minor revisions to Section M:

1) Section M.2 Source Selection and Evaluation Factors-General: Updated paragraph (b) to include a specific reference to the Mission Suitability subfactors adjectival ratings, definitions and percentile ranges at NFS 1815.305(a) (3) (A).

2) Section M.5 Past Performance Factor: Updated the Past Performance adjectival rating titles (Excellent, Very Good, Good, Fair/Satisfactory, Poor/Unsatisfactory, Neutral) to be the level of confidence rating titles (Very High, High, Moderate, Low, Very Low, Neutral) in accordance with NFS 1815.305(a) (2) (A). This change is made to update the Past Continued ...

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)

16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)

Tammy S. Burlein

15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR

15C. DATE SIGNED

16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

16C. DATE SIGNED

(Signature of person authorized to sign)

(Signature of Contracting Officer)

NSN 7540-01-152-8070

Previous edition unusable

STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-83)  
Prescribed by GSA  
FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

## CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCE NO. OF DOCUMENT BEING CONTINUED

NNK09275664R/003

PAGE OF

2

6

NAME OF OFFEROR OR CONTRACTOR

ITEM NO. (A)	SUPPLIES/SERVICES (B)	QUANTITY (C)	UNIT (D)	UNIT PRICE (E)	AMOUNT (F)
	<p>Performance Evaluation adjectival ratings from an obsolete version of the NASA FAR Supplement to the current version and to be consistent with definitions in Attachment L-03, Past Performance Questionnaire. The full text associated with the previous version has been removed and replaced with a reference to the current level of confidence ratings.</p> <p>Replace pages 145, 145.1, 154 and 155 are attached with changes annotated.</p>				

## SECTION M - EVALUATION FACTORS FOR AWARD

Per Section L provision, FAR 52.252-1 *Solicitation Provisions Incorporated by Reference*, the following provisions are incorporated by reference with associated fill-in information as shown:

### M.1 FAR 52.217-5 EVALUATION OF OPTIONS. (JUL 1990)

Full Text Provisions:

### M.2 SOURCE SELECTION AND EVALUATION FACTORS—GENERAL

- (a) Source Selection: The Government will conduct this competitive negotiated acquisition in accordance with FAR 15.3 and NFS 1815.3, "Source Selection." The Source Evaluation Board procedures in NFS 1815.370, "NASA formal Source Selection" apply. The attention of offerors is particularly directed to NFS 1815.305, "Proposal Evaluation" and to NFS 1815.305-70, "Identification of Unacceptable Proposals." The Government will use a trade-off process, as described in FAR 15.101-1, in making source selection.
- (b) Evaluation Factors and Subfactors: The Government will use the evaluation factors Mission Suitability, Cost, and Past Performance, as described in NFS 1815.304-70, "NASA Evaluation Factors", to evaluate each proposal. This Section M provides a further description for each evaluation factor, inclusive of subfactors. Only the Mission Suitability factor is numerically scored. Mission Suitability subfactors will be evaluated using the adjectival ratings, definitions and percentile ranges at NFS 1815.305(a)(3)(A). Past Performance will be evaluated using levels of confidence ratings at NFS 1815.305(a)(2)(A).
- (c) The Model Contract: The model contract shall be in complete agreement with the proposal. However, if the data included in the model contract disagrees with the data in the proposal volumes then the model contract will be considered as having precedence over the data included in the proposal volumes.
- (d) Discussions: The Government's intent regarding discussions with offerors is set forth in Section L clause, FAR 52.215-1 *Instructions to Offerors-Competitive Acquisition*.
- (e) Relative Order of Importance of Evaluation Factors: Mission Suitability and Past Performance, when combined, are approximately equal to Cost. The Mission Suitability factor is more important than the Past Performance factor.
- (f) Proposed Enhancements: The Government will evaluate the benefit of any proposed enhancements to the performance capabilities above those specified in the PWS. The Government will evaluate the enhancement under the appropriate mission suitability subfactor, only to the extent that the proposed enhancement is included within the proposed costs of the offeror's approach and the offeror commits to providing said enhancements as a contract requirement.

(End of Provision)

### **M.3 MISSION SUITABILITY FACTOR**

The Mission Suitability factor will evaluate the offeror's overall understanding of the requirements and the adequacy of the offeror's proposed approach to meeting the

performance standards for previous and present work. For hardware and hardware systems, this includes compliance with process requirements (such as ISO, AS9100 and/or product assurance) and control systems (such as configuration management) as well as the performance requirements for the delivered product. For services and support, the quality of service or support will be considered. The offeror's performance on interim work and work on complex, high-value systems during verification and validation, operations, maintenance and sustaining will be considered. The offeror's initiative in identifying and resolving technical problems will be considered.

The evaluation will consider how well the offeror has met completion dates. This includes any unique schedule requirements, interim deliverables or milestones such as periodic technical and business reports, system designs, prototype hardware and completion of valid customer direction such as task and mission assignments and technical directions.

The evaluation will consider cost increases and cost savings experienced on previous and present contracts. The offeror's cooperation and responsiveness with respect to negotiating changes, adjusting to program priorities, achieving Small Business goals and supporting Government-led program management exercises will be evaluated. The offeror's previous experience with export control compliance will be evaluated.

#### B. Safety, Mission Assurance and Environmental Performance

The evaluation will consider the offeror's effectiveness in previous implementation(s) of safety, mission assurance and environmental programs. The evaluation will consider the prevention and reduction in safety, mission assurance and environmental problems, the nature and timeliness of response to incidents and/or contingency operations and the processes to foster open and effective communications. The evaluation will consider incidents, mishaps and all cases of lost time due to accidents on previous contracts.

#### C. Past Performance Ratings

This factor will be evaluated using levels of confidence ratings at NFS 1815.305(a)(2)(A). This factor is not numerically weighted or scored.

(End of provision)

| This page is intentionally blank.